

## Message Text

PAGE 01 NATO 06481 212247Z

64

ACTION EUR-12

INFO OCT-01 IO-10 ISO-00 CIAE-00 PM-03 INR-05 L-02 ACDA-05

NSAE-00 PA-01 RSC-01 PRS-01 SP-02 USIA-06 TRSE-00

SAJ-01 DODE-00 SS-15 NSC-05 AEC-05 H-01 OMB-01 OIC-02

CU-02 /081 W

----- 063690

R 211820Z NOV 74

FM USMISSION NATO

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 8920

INFO AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

ALL NATO CAPITALS 4717

USDEL MBFR VIENNA

USMISSION GENEVA

C O N F I D E N T I A L USNATO 6481

E.. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, NATO, UR

SUBJECT: NOVEMBER 21 SPC DISCUSSION ON CSCE/MBFR LINKAGE

GENEVA FOR USDEL CSCE

REF: (A) STATE 256622 (B) USNATO 6400

1. SPC CHAIRMAN KASTL CONFIRMED AT OUTSET OF NOVEMBER 21 CSCE/MBFR LINKAGE DISCUSSIONS THAT PAPER PRODUCED BY SPC WAS TO BE DISCUSSED AND NOTED BY COUNCIL.

2. RE SUBSTANTIVE POINTS IN PRESENT IS TEXT (TRANSMITTED REF B), DANISH REP (VILLADSEN) SUGGESTED THAT PARAGRAPH 7 BE CHANGED TO REFLECT FACT THAT OPTION E WAS SOLE OPTION REQUIRING POSSIBLE SUBSTANTIVE CONCESSIONS IN CSCE PHASE II. ACCORDINGLY, HE SUGGESTED THAT SECOND SENTENCE IN PARAGRAPH BE LISTED AS A DISADVANTAGE UNDER OPTION E AND THAT REMAINING SENTENCE BE CHANGED TO READ: "THE COMMITTEE ALSO CONSIDERED A FURTHER FORM OF REVERSE LINKAGE WHICH, CONTRARY TO THE OPTIONS LISTED  
CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 02 NATO 06481 212247Z

ABOVE, WOULD REQUIRE THE ALLIED GOVERNMENTS TO MAKE CERTAIN CONCESSIONS IN CSCE." DANISH REP ALSO SUGGESTED THAT OPTION E MIGHT BE MORE CLEARLY PRESENTED IF THE SENTENCE STATING THE OPTION READ AS FOLLOWS: "AN INDICATION TO THE SOVIET UNION

THAT IN RETURN FOR ASSURANCE OF PROGRESS IN MBFR, THE ALLIED GOVERNMENTS WOULD BE WILLING TO CONSIDER WHETHER CONCESSIONS ON THEIR PART IN STAGE II CSCE MIGHT BE POSSIBLE."

3. WITH REGARD TO THE SUMMARY PARAGRAPH (PAR 8), FRENCH REP (NARICH) ASKED THAT FIRST SENTENCE BE AMENDED TO REFLECT FACT THAT FRANCE WAS NOT AMONG THE "...DRAFTERS OF THIS ...". U.S. REP (COLLINS) PROPOSED CHANGE CITED PARA 2, REF , WHICH HOWEVER PROMPTED CONCERN, MOST VOCALLY EXPRESSED BY TURKS, THAT SENTENCE GAVE TOO POSTIVIE CAST TO DESIRABLILITY OF LINKAGE. UK REP (LOGAN) ALSO POINTED OUT THAT THOUGH EX-PRESSED IN U.S.SENTENCE WAS PARTIALLY COVERED IN FOLLOWING SENTENCE. U.S. REP REPEATED ASSURANCES THAT U.S. WAS IN NO WAY ARGUING FOR ANY PARTICULAR OUTCOME TO LINKAGE STUDY BUT WAS ONLY ATTEMPTING TO CITE HISTORIC FACT THAT LINKAGE HAD IN PAST PRODUCED CERTAIN RESULTS. SPC CHAIRMAN SUGGESTED CHANGING SENTENCE IN QUESTION TO READ: "THE EFFECTIVENESS OF THIS TYPE OF PRESSURE ON THE USSR IS NOT PREDICTABLE." UK REP THEN SUGGESTED THAT "HOWEVER" BE DELETED FROM FOLLOWING SENTENCE.

4. SPC REPS AGREED TO PROPOSE ABOVE CHANGES CAPITALS SO THAT PAPER COULD BE LISTED FOR DISCUSSION AND NOTING AT COUNCIL MEETING NOVEMBER 27.  
MCAULIFFE

CONFIDENTIAL

<< END OF DOCUMENT >>

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptioning:** X  
**Capture Date:** 11 JUN 1999  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** n/a  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 21 NOV 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 01 JAN 1960  
**Decaption Note:**  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** golinofr  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974ATO06481  
**Document Source:** ADS  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** N/A  
**Errors:** n/a  
**Film Number:** n/a  
**From:** NATO  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19741185/abbryypz.tel  
**Line Count:** 81  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE  
**Office:** n/a  
**Original Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 2  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** CONFIDENTIAL  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Reference:** (A) STATE 256622 (B) USNATO 6400  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** golinofr  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 27 MAR 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <27 MAR 2002 by kelleyw0>; APPROVED <24 MAY 2002 by golinofr>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** NOVEMBER 21 SPC DISCUSSION ON CSCE/MBFR LINKAGE  
**TAGS:** PFOR, NATO, UR  
**To:** STATE INFO MOSCOW  
ALL NATO CAPITALS  
MBFR VIENNA  
GENEVA  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005